

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patient, and Transformer. Office Address Co. Doctor 1649, 2021-1450 Co. Docto

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/765,760 | 01/19/2001 | Helmut Gohner | 1159-1081 | 8902 |
| 7590 11/17/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Mitchell P. Novick, Esq. Law Offices of Mitchell P. Novick | | | KIM, PETER B | |
| 66 Park Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Montelair, NJ | 07042 | | 2851 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . A | | | - Un | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 09/765,760 | GOHNER, HELMU | JΤ | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Peter B. Kim | 2851 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence ad | dress | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after \$1X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutor, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor, - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a - Any reply received by the Office failer than thee months after the m sumed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a 1. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir aridot will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOh tatute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this co SANDONED (35 U.S.C § 133) | y mmunication: | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | · | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allocation in accordance with the practice und | | | merits is | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PT | O-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for all All b □ Some * o □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of the company of the compan | nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not lestic priority under 35 U.S.C. provisional application has b lestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | pplication Noreceived in this National received. \$ 119(e) (to a provisiona attion or in an Application een received. \$\$ 120 and/or 121 since | I application) Data Sheet. a specific | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | ∧ □ | Cummer (DTO 442) D | -1 | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 To Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No |) 5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(nformal Patent Application (PTO | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/765,760

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on Jan. 19, 2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the LCD-chip is mounted at the mounting of the correction lens means must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program

Application/Control Number: 09/765,760

Art Unit: 2851

listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.(2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97
- and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the mounting" lacks proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding Claim 2, the written description does not specifically define the distance between the correction lens and the LCD-chip. "Very short" does not enable one of ordinary skill to practice the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/765,760

Art Unit: 2851

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al. (Takeuchi) (6,642,970) in view of Wong et al. (Wong) (6,580,490).

Takeuchi discloses a device for enlarging and exposure of digital exposure data comprising a lamp (22) with a reflector, a light mixer (26), an LCD-chip (30) between two polarization filters (32, 34) and an enlargement optic (40). However, Takeuchi does not disclose projecting the data onto light sensitive photo paper and the enlargement optic comprising a correction lens and enlargement object. Wong discloses a method and apparatus for printing an image by exposing the image onto a light-sensitive photo paper (160) with enlargement optics (132) with correction lens comprising a convex lens and a diverging lens to evenly and centrically guide the rays from the LCD-chip into the enlargement objective. Although Takeuchi discloses a projector and Wong discloses an apparatus for printing an image, both devices have the same projection lens requirements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the invention of Takeuchi for use in image printing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Kim whose telephone number is (703) 305-0105. The

Art Unit: 2851

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703 308 2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306-3431.

Peter B. Kim Patent Examiner November 6, 2003